MINUTES

The Board of Trustees of the Public Employees Retirement Association met in regular session in the Association offices, 60 Empire Drive, Room 117, Saint Paul, Minnesota, on Thursday, October 14, 2021, at 9:30 a.m., with notice given ten days prior thereto by the Secretary.

The following members were present:

Thomas Stanley, President
Julie Blaha/Ramona Advani
Mary Falk
Paul Ford (remotely)
Kathy Green (remotely)
Barbara Johnson
David Metusalem
Thomas Rupp (remotely)
Thomas Thornberg (remotely)

constituting a quorum.

Members excused: Paul Bourgeois, Scott Schulte

Attorney General Representative: Kevin Finnerty (remotely)

Staff members present: Doug Anderson, Executive Director; Julie Leppink, Legal Counsel; Lance LaFrombois, Deputy General Counsel; Mark Sauceman, Chief Information Officer; David Andrews, Finance Director; Beth Reed, Chief Operations Officer; Heather Schoenberger, Account Information Management Manager; Afiya Krueger, Benefit Claims Director; Amy Brandenburg, Calculations Supervisor; Tim Knippenberg, Member Services Center Director; Mary Daly, Continuity and Data Coordinator; Gladys Rodriguez, Executive Assistant.

Others present: Luci Botzek, Public Employees Pension Service Association; Brian Rice, Rice, Walther & Mosley, LLP; Gary Carlson, League of Minnesota Cities; Bonnie Wurst, Gabriel, Roeder, Smith; Sheri Christensen, Gabriel, Roeder, Smith; Angela Huss, Lockridge Grindal Nauen PLLP; Harry Grigsby, Retiree; Susan Lenczewski, Legislative Commission on Pensions and Retirements; Chad Burkitt, Legislative Commission on Pensions and Retirement.

1. Call to Order

President Stanley called the meeting to order at 9:30 a.m. Trustees participated in person or remotely via telephone or interactive technology as permitted in Minnesota Statutes Section 13D.015. Roll call was taken to establish quorum.

The agenda was taken in the following order.
2. **Approval of Consent Calendar**
   The consent calendar included the following reports:
   a) August 12, 2021, Regular Board Meeting Minutes
   b) Operational Report: Education, Payments, and Membership Counts

   Metusalem moved to accept the consent calendar as presented. The motion was seconded by Johnson and passed unanimously by roll call vote.

3. **Approval Request to Write-Off Uncollectable Accounts**
   Reference was made to a memo from David Andrews dated October 14, 2021

   Anderson presented a list (distributed to trustees only) of 15 outstanding uncollectible accounts totaling $42,748.18. Upon board approval, the necessary journal entry in PERA’s financial records will be prepared to reflect the write off amounts.

   Blaha moved to approve the write off, for financial reporting purposes, of 15 outstanding uncollectible accounts totaling $42,748.18. The motion was seconded by Falk and passed unanimously by roll call vote.

4. **Long Term PERA Board Legislative Positions**
   Reference was made to a memo from Doug Anderson dated October 14, 2021

   The Board has been working diligently for the past year to evaluate and modify twelve Long Term Legislative Positions that were last updated in 2015. Anderson presented a summary of the changes and noted that positions may be modified by the Board at any time. These positions are extremely helpful to allow a quick response to requests from stakeholders and legislators.

   [Stanley noted technical issues with the audio equipment]

5. **Presentation by Bonnie Wurst, Gabriel, Roeder, Smith & Company**
   Reference was made to a 2021 Estimated Valuation Results presentation

   Wurst presented 2021 estimated valuation results for the three defined benefit plans, and assumptions related to the assumed rate of investment return. The investment return on market value of assets was approximately 30.3% for the fiscal year with an expected annual investment return of 7.5%. 20% of the fiscal year 2021 investment loss is recognized in the 2021 actuarial value of assets; with the remainder over the next 4 years. The return on actuarial value of assets is estimated to be approximately 12.8% for the fiscal year, after deferred investment gains and losses.

   Highlights on the investment return assumption.
   - The assumed rate of return on assets is 7.50% per year
   - The most recent experience study concluded that 7.50% was within a reasonable range.
The analysis of investment return assumption is based on forward-looking measures of likely investment return reflecting SBI investment policy, capital market assumptions from 12 national investment consulting firms, and utilizes price inflation assumption.

The current 7.5% assumption is no longer reasonable for the 2021 valuation based on analysis performed. The preferred range based on the updated analysis is 5.71% to 6.61%.

The range based on the average of the last three years of capital market expectations is 6.19% to 7.05%. Based on this data, GRS can support a 7.0% discount rate for the 2021 valuation. Wurst cautioned that 7.0% is an upper bound rate and that a rate near the median, such as 5.71%, would be more likely to be sustainable for a longer period.

(Meeting was paused due to technical issues. Meeting resumed at 10:30 a.m.)

Wurst shared estimated results for the General Employees Retirement Plan, Police and Fire Retirement Plan, and the Local Correctional Retirement Plan based on actuarial value of assets and market value of assets.

Anderson shared that the focus is on the market value of assets when speaking to stakeholders and it is very important to proceed with caution with a reasonable assumption.

6. **2022 Legislative Agenda**

*Reference was made to a memo from Doug Anderson and Amy Strenge dated October 14, 2021*

Anderson and Strenge presented PERA's 2022 legislative initiatives for the Board to approve.

**Investment Return Assumption**

PERA staff is recommending a legislative change to the investment return rate that is not outside the range of reasonableness identified by the actuary. GRS has recommended that PERA consider an investment return assumption in the range of 5.71% to 6.61%. In addition, GRS noted that they can support a 7.0% discount rate for the 2021 valuation, but that selecting an investment return assumption near the upper end of this range may not be sustainable in the long run.

Anderson noted several things that do not change when the investment return assumption changes, such as the combined fund's investment allocation, future actual investment returns, current member benefits, and current member, employer and state contributions. What does change is the measurement used to evaluate the plan’s health, the information shared with stakeholders, the actuary's need to qualify their opinion and the perspective of the rating agencies.

There is a fiduciary duty and responsibility to exercise good judgement, to rely on and follow the expert’s advice and knowledge to make an informed decision, especially as it relates to the investment return. The Board has also adopted a funding value that reads, “Assumptions should be based upon the actuary’s recommendations made in accordance with Actuarial Standards of Practice. Assumptions should not be changed exclusively for the purpose of achieving benefit or funding motives.”

Minnesota State Retirement System (MSRS) and Teachers Retirement Association (TRA) are also considering lowering their investment return. MSRS will make their decision in November 2021 and are exploring a range from 6.5% to 7%.
Staff recommended to stay within the range of reasonableness and to engage with the other statewide funds to develop a recommendation for the legislature that is consistent with the recommendation from PERA’s actuary.

*Green moved that the PERA Board of Trustees direct Executive Director Anderson to engage with the Executive Directors for the other statewide funds to develop a recommendation for the legislature that is consistent with the recommendation from PERA’s actuary existing at the time the legislature make their decision; motion was seconded by Ford.*

Metusalem spoke in favor of the motion and stated that there is a fiduciary duty that must be maintained and that there are positions that the board has taken to support the recommendation. Time is of the essence and critical, as the legislature moves quickly and staff need the authority to work with the other pension funds to develop a plan. Blaha spoke against the motion and stated that if the motion passes then the board agrees that anything from 5.71% to 6.61% is acceptable, and there is still much debate around it. Any decision has to come back to the board for approval. Stakeholders should also participate in the conversation since it is a huge decision to make. Falk agreed that stakeholders should be involved before a decision is made. Thornberg expressed that he cannot support this motion because more time is needed to contemplate a prudent decision. Staff should continue to have discussions and pull more information for the board to consider.

*Rupp moved to postpone the original motion. Motion was seconded by Thornberg. Motion passed with a 5-4 roll call vote. (AYE- Blaha, Falk, Johnson, Rupp, Thornberg; NAY- Ford, Green, Metusalem, Stanley).*

*Green moved that staff be allowed to move forward with discussions and bring back options based on the actuary rate not to exceed 7.1%. The motion was seconded by Johnson.*

*Blaha moved to postpone the second motion made by Green. Motion was seconded by Falk. Motion failed with a 4-5 roll call vote. (AYE- Blaha, Falk, Johnson, Thornberg; NAY- Ford, Green, Metusalem, Rupp, Stanley).*

*Second motion by Green passed with a 5-4 roll call vote (AYE- Ford, Green, Metusalem, Rupp, Stanley; NAY- Blaha, Falk, Johnson, Thornberg).*

[Thornberg left the meeting]

**Privatization**

The proposed legislation requires a privatizing entity to pay a withdrawal liability equal to the unfunded actuarial accrued liability for an entity's eligible active members. The calculation is based on current actuarial assumptions and the plan’s funding ratio from the most recent actuarial valuation.

*Falk moved that the Board reaffirm the assessment of a withdrawal liability to future privatizing entities and direct staff to seek legislation. The motion was seconded by Rupp and passed unanimously by roll call vote.*
PERA Police and Fire Disability Benefits for Members over 55 with less than 20 years of service
Staff will recommend that members over fifty-five with less than twenty years of service receive the equivalent of a disability benefit, but as retirement benefit. As a result, all members over fifty-five, regardless of their service, will receive the same benefit and tax treatment.

Blaha moved that the Board reaffirm the proposed change that addresses benefit equity and direct staff to seek legislation. The motion was seconded by Metusalem and passed unanimously by roll call vote.

PERA Technical Change
Staff has identified a technical change impacting combined service annuities (CSAs) and pre89 eligibility. The technical clarification conforms with current practice that all benefits are calculated under the same formula, if applicable.

Metusalem moved that Board approve the proposed technical changes identified, along with other technical changes as needed moving forward and direct staff to seek legislation. The motion was seconded by Blaha and passed unanimously by roll call vote.

[ Ford left the meeting ]

Statewide Volunteer Firefighter (SVF) Plan
There are no current legislative initiatives. The Legislative Commission on Pensions and Retirement are preparing a technical corrections bill.

911 Telecommunicators Work Group
Discussion has focused on coverage for 911 telecommunicators under the PERA Correctional Plan and MSRS Correctional Plan. PERA has provided information addressing General Plan benefits, Correctional Plan benefits, and cost estimates of coverage under the PERA Correctional Plan.

7. PERA Board of Trustees 2022 Meeting Schedule
Reference was made to a Board Resolution – 2022 Regular Meeting Schedule

Stanley presented a resolution reflecting the meeting dates for 2022.

Johnson moved to adopt the resolution setting the 2022 regular meeting schedule of the PERA Board of Trustees. The motion was seconded by Falk and passed unanimously by roll call vote.

8. Article of Interest
Stanley called the Trustees attention to the following items of interest.

- Understanding Returns for Public DB Plans: How Actuaries and Consultants Develop Forecasts, Callan Institute, September 2021
- State of Pensions 2021, Equable Institute’s Second Annual Report
9. **ED Performance Review Process**
   Stanley explained the process to evaluate the performance of the Executive Director. Members will be asked to follow a link to an online survey, which will be monitored by Gladys Rodriguez. Stanley will compile the responses and create a summary document to be used in the formal review process at the December meeting.

10. **Date of Next Meeting**
    The next meeting will be held on Thursday, December 9, 2021 starting at 9:30 a.m. Board Governance Training will be provided following the regular meeting.

11. **Adjournment**

    *There being no further business to come before the PERA Board, Metusalem moved to adjourn the meeting at 1:30 p.m. Motion was seconded by Johnson and passed unanimously by roll call vote.*

    Following the regular meeting, the Board of Trustees participated in a brief plan funding session.

    

---

Doug Anderson, Executive Director  
Saint Paul, Minnesota