Date: December 12, 2019  
To: PERA Board of Trustees  
From: Doug Anderson, Executive Director  
Subject: SBI Proposed Statutory Amendment

Staff members of the State Board of Investment (SBI) recommended that the Board authorize their Executive Director to seek a legislative amendment which would authorize investments in co-investments and separate accounts through the SBI’s Private Market program, bank loans through the SBI’s Public Markets program, and exempts liquid alternatives from the 35% market capitalization restriction.

The attached memo and draft legislation was presented to the Investment Advisory Council (IAC) at their November 18, 2019 meeting. The IAC voted in support of the proposed statutory amendment.

Subsequent to that meeting, the Governor’s office requested that each of the retirement systems provide a letter stating their respective positions. The attached letter dated November 26, 2019 to Governor Walz summarizes PERA’s long-standing legislative position relative to investment decisions and how I acted within the authority given to me under PERA’s governance manual to interpret PERA’s legislative policy views.

The SBI met on December 2, 2019 and approved the proposed statutory amendment. The Governor referenced the letters of support received from MSRS, PERA, TRA, and MMB. The SBI staff will now seek a legislative change during the 2020 session.
November 26, 2019

Dear Governor Walz,

The purpose of this letter is to state PERA’s position with regard to proposed statutory amendments to investment authority. The proposed amendments were provided and discussed at the November 18, 2019 Investment Advisory Council (IAC) meeting.

The PERA Board of Trustees recognizes its role as fiduciaries for its plans’ assets and has adopted a long-standing legislative position relative to investment decisions. PERA’s position is to support the State Board of Investment in fulfilling its fiduciary duties and its statutory purposes that pension assets are “responsibly invested to maximize the total rate or return without incurring undue risk”. As Executive Director I have been given authorization under the terms of PERA’s governance manual to interpret PERA legislative policy views. In that role, I interpreted the proposed statutory amendments as being consistent with investing responsibly without incurring undue risk. As a member of the IAC I voted in support of the proposed statutory amendments at the November 18, 2019 meeting.

The PERA Board meets on December 12, 2019. I will inform the Board of the proposed statutory amendment and my affirmative support as IAC member at that time.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Doug Anderson
Executive Director
DATE: November 25, 2019

TO: Members, State Board of Investment

FROM: Members, Investment Advisory Council and SBI Staff

SUBJECT: Proposed Statutory Amendments to Investment Authority

Staff is recommending that the State Board of Investment authorize the Executive Director to seek a legislative amendment which would authorize investments in co-investments and separate accounts through the SBI’s Private Markets program, bank loans through the SBI’s Public Markets program, and exempts liquid alternatives from the 35% market capitalization restriction.

Co-investments and Separate Accounts

Each quarter, the Private Markets team considers numerous investment opportunities for the State Board of Investment. Most of this deal flow represents the traditional commingled limited partnership fund structures. Over the past decade, large institutional investors have negotiated new investment arrangements in the form of co-investments and separate accounts with more favorable economic terms. In most cases, these situations represent investment opportunities similar to traditional fund structures but with lower fees and lower carried interest. The main difference between traditional structures and co-investments/separate accounts is that the latter may be customized by the general partner for larger limited partners such as the SBI. Investing in co-investments or separate accounts will result in significant investment savings versus being limited to investing in the traditional fund structures only.

Staff is recommending proposed legislation which permits investments in separate accounts and co-investment opportunities and exempts these investments from certain restrictions. These investments can benefit the portfolio in the following ways:

(i) Provide access to the same type of underlying investments as a commingled vehicle, but at lower cost;

(ii) Generate attractive risk-adjusted returns through investments that cannot easily be made via a commingled vehicle; and

(iii) Allow for the SBI to impose investment restrictions and/or guidelines that protect the interests of the plan, or allow for the SBI to construct a unique portfolio specific to the SBI’s needs.

For instance, a General Partner may be unwilling to grant significant fee concessions on a commitment to a main commingled fund, but may instead be willing to manage a separate pool of
capital for the SBI alongside the SBI’s commitment to the main fund on a lower-fee or no-fee basis, and without carried interest. In this hypothetical example, by committing $70 million to the main fund and $30 million to the separate pool, rather than $100 million to the com mingled fund, the SBI would substantially lower both the management fees charged on the total amount of capital, as well as the carried interest shared with the General Partner. Depending on the structure of the separate pool, the SBI may be the only limited partner in the fund or may participate in a co-investment opportunity with one or more additional limited partners.

If these investment opportunities are prudent and provide economic benefits that would accrue to plan participants, it is within the Executive Director’s fiduciary duty to consider them. Currently, however, Minn. Stat. § 11A.24, subd. 6(b) forecloses the ability to participate in separate accounts and most co-investment opportunities because the SBI would hold up to a 100% interest in the investment vehicle and the vehicle may not have four other participants. To address this issue, the proposed amendment exempts these investments from the requirements that the SBI’s participation in the investment vehicle be limited to 20% and that the investment have four unrelated owners. Importantly, the amendment preserves the requirement that the SBI participate in investment vehicles that have limited liability, which would limit the SBI’s co-investment opportunities to indirect ownership through appropriate investment vehicles. Finally, any investments in separate accounts or co-investment opportunities would count against the 35% portfolio limitation for alternative investments.

**Bank Loans**

Staff is seeking the authority to invest in bank loans to further diversify the SBI’s portfolio by allowing investment in companies and structures that cannot be accessed in either the high yield or investment grade corporate market. Because bank loans (also referred to as leveraged loans) are not considered securities, the SBI does not currently have statutory authority to invest the asset class. With additional authority the SBI could invest in bank loans via both the primary and secondary market.

Bank loans are a unique asset class with both offensive and defensive characteristics. Staff believes that an allocation to bank loans – either on a stand-alone basis or as part of a fixed income asset allocation product such as Multi-asset Credit or Core Plus – would provide an opportunity for enhanced yields and broader diversification within the SBI’s fixed income investment program.

The bank loan market has grown steadily since the mid-1990s, and outstanding loans now exceed $1.4 trillion, making the bank loan market as large as the high yield bond market (see Figure 1).
Bank loans are loans provided by lenders to companies in order to support mergers and acquisitions, recapitalization of the balance sheet, and other activities within the company. Bank loans are typically the senior-most debt in a company’s capital structure and in most cases are secured by collateral and have covenants which require the borrower to maintain certain financial metrics.

The term to maturity for a bank loan will vary by borrower but is usually five to seven years. Bank loans typically pay a floating rate coupon based on short-term reference rate, such as 3-month LIBOR, plus a spread. The spread on a particular bank loan will vary based on credit quality of the company and conditions in the market at the time of issuance. Bank loans are typically repayable at par at any time by the borrower without penalty.

While the typical borrower has a leveraged capital structure and tends to be smaller in size than an average investment grade issuer, bank loans’ senior placement in the capital structure, collateral security and (commonly) covenants can make loans attractive compared to high yield bonds, which are largely unsecured. In addition, the floating rate nature of bank loans reduces interest rate risk and can provide inflation protection.

As bank investment products, the bank loan market is overseen by banking regulators, including the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC), the FDIC and the Federal Reserve. New issue bank loans are marketed via an offering memorandum (versus a prospectus for SEC-registered securities) and may be offered only to institutional investors. There is a robust secondary market for trading bank loans between institutional investors, with investors usually trading through dealer desks at the large underwriting banks.
SBI staff and the IAC have discussed options for further diversifying the Combined Funds portfolio beyond public equities, fixed income, and private markets. Specifically, we have discussed the potential use of liquid alternative strategies. These assets can include more common assets such as real estate investment trusts (REITs) or master limited partnerships (MLPs). However, many investors like the SBI are focusing more complex strategies in this area.

These strategies can be benchmark unconstrained, aim to generate an absolute return and have the ability to take both long and short positions. These investments provide access to unique strategies which may utilize non-traditional asset classes such as commodities, currencies, or options/derivatives. Additionally, they may implement traditional “alternative” investment strategies such as equity long-short, market-neutral relative value, trend-following or value, momentum, carry, or quality strategies. Similar to investments in private markets, liquid alternatives may be structured as limited liability companies, limited partnerships, or separate accounts. Importantly, however, the strategies will typically invest in marketable securities and other liquid instruments.

While Minn. Stat. §11A.24, subd. 6(a) currently authorizes investments in these types of vehicles, staff believe it is appropriate to provide a separate authorization for liquid alternatives because, unlike private market investments, they invest in marketable securities and provide for periodic liquidity. The proposed amendment contains a separate authorization for liquid alternatives and exempts these investments from the 35% statutory market capitalization restriction. The exemption is appropriate because the concentration limit protects the portfolio from illiquidity, a concern mitigated with respect to liquid alternatives due to their periodic liquidity features.

Attachment A includes sample language for a proposed amendment to Minn. Stat. § 11A.24, subd. 6(a) and (b).

RECOMMENDATION:

The Investment Advisory Council concurs with Staff’s recommendation to pursue proposed legislation permitting Private Market investments in separate accounts and co-investment opportunities, while exempting these investments from restrictions which currently prohibit separate accounts and co-investment; permitting investments in bank loans; exempting liquid alternatives from the statutory 35% market capitalization restriction currently applicable to investments in the Private Markets portfolio; and any corresponding administrative or conforming changes consistent with the foregoing.
SAMPLE AMENDMENT

Minn. Stat. § 11A.24, subd. 6(a) and (b)

(a) In addition to the investments authorized in subdivisions 1 to 5, and subject to the provisions in paragraph (b), the state board is authorized to invest funds in:

(1) equity and debt investment businesses through participation in limited partnerships, trusts, private placements, limited liability corporations, limited liability companies, limited liability partnerships, and corporations;

(2) real estate ownership interests or loans secured by mortgages or deeds of trust or shares of real estate investment trusts through investment in limited partnerships, bank-sponsored collective funds, trusts, mortgage participation agreements, and insurance company commingled accounts, including separate accounts;

(3) resource investments through limited partnerships, trusts, private placements, limited liability corporations, limited liability companies, limited liability partnerships, and corporations; and

(4) investment vehicles that are co-investments or separate accounts;

(5) liquid alternatives;

(6) bank loans; and

(7) international securities.

(b) The investments authorized in paragraph (a) must conform to the following provisions:

(1) the aggregate value of all investments made under paragraph (a), clauses (1) to (3), may not exceed 35 percent of the market value of the fund for which the state board is investing;

(2) there must be at least four unrelated owners of the investment other than the state board for investments made under paragraph (a), clause (1), (2), or (3);

(3) state board participation in an investment vehicle is limited to 20 percent thereof for investments made under paragraph (a), clause (1), (2), or (3); and

(4) state board participation in a limited partnership in an investment vehicle does not include a general partnership interest or other interest involving general liability. The state board may not engage in any activity as a limited partner, not participate in any investment vehicle in a manner which creates general liability.

(c) All financial, business, or proprietary data collected, created, received, or maintained by the state board in connection with investments authorized by paragraph (a), clauses (1) to (3), are nonpublic data under section 13.02, subdivision 9. As used in this paragraph, "financial, business, or proprietary data" means data, as determined by the responsible authority.
for the state board, that is of a financial, business, or proprietary nature, the release of which could cause competitive harm to the state board, the legal entity in which the state board has invested or has considered an investment, the managing entity of an investment, or a portfolio company in which the legal entity holds an interest. As used in this section, "business data" is data described in section 13.591, subdivision 1. Regardless of whether they could be considered financial, business, or proprietary data, the following data received, prepared, used, or retained by the state board in connection with investments authorized by paragraph (a), clauses (1)–(5), (2), or (3), are public at all times:

(1) the name and industry group classification of the legal entity in which the state board has invested or in which the state board has considered an investment;

(2) the state board commitment amount, if any;

(3) the funded amount of the state board's commitment to date, if any;

(4) the market value of the investment by the state board;

(5) the state board's internal rate of return for the investment, including expenditures and receipts used in the calculation of the investment's internal rate of return; and

(6) the age of the investment in years.

* This amendment will also include conforming changes to other sections, including appropriate cross references.